Dear Jacques,

Thanky you very much for your manuscript. I have read it carefully, and with great interest. You have not indicated whether you wish it to be returned; I will hasten to do so at your word.

I have been doing some experiments lately on adaptation for galactosidase which may interest you. K-12 will develop this enzyme to varying extents on different substrates. If the activity per cell, as measured with nitrophenyl galactoside, is counted as 100 for lactose, the relative activities of cells harvest from broth with the indicated substance as secondary carbon source are as follows:

n-butyl b-	galactoside	115 100	This is for K-12. Lac1- and Lac3- stocks do not respond to lactose
lactobionate	•	73	but will to butyl galactoside and to
galactuse galactonate		23	galactose. Lac - does not form the enzyme under any condition tested, and the same
mucute dulcitol		ca 2	is probably true for Lac, - and Lac 6
l-arabinose	loss than		
d-glucose	due to adapta the measurement		

Cells of E. coli &L (L/) will split nitrophenyl galactoside if, and they are grown on lactose, and to a far lesser extent if grown on galactose (5% of the lactose cells activity.) Glucoxegrown cells, of course, have no measurable activity. I am suclosing a few mg. of nitrophenyl galactoside, for your use if you would like to test the activity of your "purified" lactase.

For the above table, I should note that lactobionate, which is a very effective evocator of galactosidase, is not utilizable for growth or fermentation by K-12. Likewise, galactose has the same adaptive potence for galactosidase formation on mutants which are incapable of using galactose as for K-12. Thus utilization and adaptation have been separated in both ways. (Lactose, for Lac1- is not does not evoke the enzyme but is utilized by it; lackabionate is not utilized but does evoke.)

The pH optimum for galactosidase extracts is 7.3. For intact cells it is closer to 7.0 The Na stimulations and Rb inhibitions which have been noted with the extracts are not demonstrable with the intact cells, indicating that the enzyme may be protected. The apparent Km is about 4×10^{-4} , almost 4×10^{-4} as high as for the extract. This difference may be due to the fact that diffusion is the rate limiting step, and this is being studied.

Good luck on your trip to London, and let me know if anything interesting comes up. Sincerely,